

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/754,411	PACKHAM, TRACY	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Apu M. Mofiz	2165	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Michael Piper. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Apu M. Mofiz. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 17 October 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 20 and 38.

Identification of prior art discussed: ATEP.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant and the Examiner agreed to add "wherein the definitions in the property file do not adhere to a COBOL copybook syntax" and "based on the instruction, wherein the string of test data is structurally equivalent to a data string output by a COBOL application and includes a sequence of the data values that are not delimited by any special character and arranged in accordance with the sequence of corresponding field identifiers defined by one of the test string formats" to the independent claims to further clarify the invention claimed.